Bioethics and Anointing of the Sick

Joseph Tham, L.C.



Decano della
Facoltà di
Bioetica,
Medico, dottore di
ricerca e docente
di Bioetica,
Ateneo Pontificio
Regina
Apostolorum,
Roma.

he relation between bioethics and the sacrament of the sick appears, at the first glance, somewhat disjointed. Contemporary bioethical literature would rarely admit any dealings with faith practices and beliefs into its discussion. Bioethics is supposed to be based on neutral philosophical grounds that are accessible to all persons, whatever their beliefs or non-beliefs might be. Any explicit talk on God and the sacraments would smell of sectarianism, a word that is unjustifiably associated with intolerance in our pluralistic society. This turn to a purely secular bioethics is unfortunate¹. It is a negation of the historical roots of medical ethics, which since the times of Hippocrates, has been influential in guiding physicians toward a more humane medicine².

In fact, a cursory review of the history of medical oaths and morality reveals that religion in general and the sacraments in particular have formed a part of this ancient corpus. In the Medieval Ages, we discover that health care was provided in monasteries and managed by the secular or religious clerics. Even though their skills would be considered rudimentary today, their sincere concern for the physical and spiritual health of sick has not been surpassed. As a matter of fact, the root of the word "health" (salus in Latin and σωτηρία in Greek), made no distinction between physical wellness and salvation. Thus, integral salus is sought for the patient under care by priest-doctors in monasteryhospitals. Evangelical ideals were prevalent in this age, where obvious allusions were made to recall the parable of the Good Samaritan (Luke 10,29-37) and warnings of the Last Judgement: «I was sick and you visited me» (Matthew 25,36). It was during this time that certain religious orders were found, such as the Knights Templar or Hospitalers of St. John, whose vows consisted in serving «our lords, the sick»³. Even at a later stage, medicine became "professionalized" and separated from the direct intervention of the cleric, the religious implication of health is never truly alienated. A notable precursor to modern bioethics could also be traced to literature of Pastoral Medicine in the 18-19th centuries, where medical ethics was discussed under the rubrics of the commandments and the sacraments⁴.

Recuperating some of these writings and applying them to current issues of bioethics would prove to be a very interesting adventure, especially in today's context of high technology and increasingly dehumanized medicine. In its relation to the sacrament of the sick, a first aspect that could be touched upon is the relationship between faith and healing. But I am more interested in dedicating a greater space to the current debate of euthanasia and the "good death" seen under the light of this sacrament. A third point would relate to the pastoral application of the Christian interpretation of a "good death". Very briefly, the sacrament of the Anointing of the Sick has a principle justification from the early apostolic practices elucidated in the letter of James: «Any one of you who is ill should send for the elders of the church, and they must anoint the sick person with oil in the name of the Lord and pray over him. The prayer of faith will save $(\sigma \acute{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota)$ the sick person and the Lord will raise him up

again... pray for one another to be cured; the heartfelt prayer of someone upright works very powerfully» (James 5,14-15). The theme of faith and health-salvation recurs once again. Implied in this passage and ingrained in the teaching of the Church is that this sacrament not only bestows spiritual grace, but can, if God so wills it, effect physical healing⁵. The Catholic Church has never complete relegated the healing mission to the medical arts alone, but insists that miraculous healing can and does happen because ultimately it is God who cures⁶. That is, the

power of Christ's resurrection continues to operate in and through the Church by means of her healing ministry. There is a recent proliferation of literature on faith healing. However, the bioethical community has in general paid scarce attention to

this phenomenon, perhaps of its post-modern prejudice, if not outright despise, on the possibilities of miracles. Certainly, a greater exploration in this area would be most promising.

The Catholic sacramental

system offers us an

alternative vision

on the "good death"

The current debate on euthanasia has a particular bearing on our discussion of the sacrament. Etymologically, eu-thanasia means "good death". Yet, the secular version of a good death is terribly lonesome. The emphasis is invariably on unbearable suffering and patient autonomy or right to "die with dignity". This vision is filled with ironies, because the patient is never truly autonomous when he ask the community (in the guise of the physician and with approval of the law) to perform the euthanizing act. At the same time, it proposes to eliminate suffering by eliminating the sufferer. When carried to the extreme, euthanasia advocates the killing of those who are no longer autonomous or are even aware of their sufferings, out of utilitarian calculation or the so-called quality of life concerns⁷.

The Catholic sacramental system offers us an alternative vision on the "good death." Suffering takes on a new meaning; it is no longer

solitary and purposeless, but that of sharing with Christ's passion. «In my flesh I complete what is lacking in Christ's afflictions for the sake of his body, that is, the Church» (Col 1,24) Beginning with this quote, the apostolic letter Salvifici Doloris written by John Paul II offers the Christian such a program - a trajectory that has been lived out eloquently by the same pope during the last days of his life⁸. Indeed, one is never alone in the Church which, as a community of saints, share the burden of care and compassion for the suffering members. The word "com-passion"

> betrays its religious root (passions) with the patient. The suffering patient Christians. That is why

this sacrament of anointing of the sick is sometimes known as the viaticum, which is given together with the Eucharist and confession, to provide spiritual strength for this often challenging coda of one's life journey. This sacramental vision offers a corrective to contemporary bioethics which has in general ignored the deeper question of meaning of life, suffering and death because the secular vision cannot offer much in this area other than autonomy9.

This brings us to the last point on pastoral concerns of the suffering and dying. As an aside, there is a question of whether anointing of the sick could be granted to those who are contemplating euthanasia. On the one hand, if the priest is called in this circumstance, it would be an opportune moment to explain to the sick the teaching of the Church, offers him spiritual comforts and solidarity of the Christian community. However, if the person is adamant on his decision to pursue euthanasia, it would appear that the sacrament could not be administered, since this would totally contradict its intended purpose¹⁰. This is not a judgment on the eternal salvation or not of the subject, since only

as a sharing the sufferings is never an "autonomous" individual making personal decisions, but is part of a loving community of family, friends and fellow

God knows the interior intention of the person and one cannot exclude the possibility of ulterior repentance at the moment of death. However, rather than dwelling on casuistic analysis that has plagued modern-day bioethics, our pastoral attention should be focused elsewhere. It is interesting to note that the medieval man prefers to die in battle or a protracted illness so that he could have adequate time prepare his death, to attend to unfinished businesses, be they of social or spiritual concerns. Modern man, on the other hand, prefers to die suddenly without suffering; in fact, he prefers not to think about death at all¹¹. In a sense, modern mentality has opted for the version of "good death" that is closer to proponents of euthanasia than that of the Catholic sacramental vision. This contrast is most evident in certain attitude towards any symbol of death, like the superstitious avoidance of the violet colour, or the postponement to ask for a priest until the dying moment. At the scene of a traffic accident here in Italy, I (dressed as a priest) was once rejected to attend to the victim by the family member, perhaps because it would bring bad luck. We need desperately to recuperate the true sense of Christian death among the faithful. In the light of the resurrection, death, as St. Paul forcefully reminds us, did not have its last words: «Death is swallowed up in victory. O Death, where is your victory? O Death where is your sting?» (1Cor 15,55). Pastoral agents must strive with greater insistence to instil in the laity this eschatological truth. Perhaps, the image St. Francis of Assisi, depicted variously meditating a human skull in his hand, would help us refocus on the essential meaning of death for the Christian. This contemplation on "our sister death", to coin St. Francis, is not intended to be some morbid and haunting practise, but aims to brings us closer to the perennial truths of our faith – the condition of being a finite creature, the gift of life, the redemption from eternal death, and the destiny of eternal happiness of the elect.

It would not be possible to delve into what such a pastoral program would entail; perhaps a personal story would help. When I graduated from medical school (at that moment a layperson), the first few months of my career was spent in substituting other physicians who went on vacation. One of the patients that I attended required house call because was too sick to travel. After several visits, I befriended this elderly gentleman. On one occasion, I noticed a rosary dangling from his pocket. Presuming that he was Catholic, I lanced the question about his faith practice. He admitted that he has been away from the Church for twenty years. After some motivation and personal witnessing, I proposed to him that a priest would visit him to give him the sacraments of the sick, confession and communion. This took place and the patient was evidently euphonious after the pastoral visit. His health inexplicably improved to such an extent that he was able to travel and visit friends and family. A month later he passed away, at peace with God and the Church.

This article wishes redirect our attention toward the care of the suffering and the dying in a humanitarian and Christian manner. This subject has too long been neglected in a great part by bioethics writers, because of a traditional bias against religion and theology. The problem of death and suffering necessarily calls for engagement of bioethics and various branches of theology: pastoral care, spirituality, the sacraments and eschatology. Much work remains to be done. The price of our failure would be too great; for the tyranny of autonomy to prevail over the true concept of a "good death", which, in reality, is a threshold toward the eternal embrace with our Creator.

NOTE

¹ L. WALTERS, «Religion and the renaissance of Medical Ethics in the United States: 1965-1975», in E.E. SHELP (ed.), *Theology and Bioethics: Exploring the Foundations and Frontiers*, (Philosophy and Medicine 20), D. Reidel Publishing, Dordreicht 1985, 3-16; D.F. KELLY, *The Emergence of Roman Catholic Medical Ethics in North America: An Historical, Methodological, Bibliographical Study*, Edwin Mellen Press, New York-Toronto 1979.

² P.L. ENTRALGO, *Doctor and Patient*, World University Library - McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York 1969.

- ³ AR. JONSEN, *The New Medicine and the Old Ethics*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge-London 1990, 61-79
- ⁴ A. NIEDERMEYER, *Compendio di medicina pastorale*, Marietti, Torino 1955.
- ⁵ Catechism of the Catholic Church, no. 1520. Cfr. Council of Florence, *DS* 1325.
- ⁶ Even in the secular medical school at the University of Toronto which I was trained as a physician carried this motto in its logo: "We treat, but God heals".
- G.C. MEILAENDER, Body Soul, and Bioethics, University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, IN 1995, 37-59.
 JOHN PAUL II, Apostolic Letter Salvifici doloris (11 February 1984), AAS 76 (1984).

- ⁹ C. Flamigni A. Massarenti M. Mori A. Petroni, «Manifesto di Bioetica Laica», *Il Sole24Ore*, June 9, 1996.
- ¹⁰ As stated in this document, «the anointing of the sick in the trial of illness and old age and especially at the Christian's final hour is a sign of definitive conversion to the Lord and of total acceptance of suffering and death as a penance for sins. And in this is accomplished supreme reconciliation with the Father». JOHN PAUL II, Post-Synodal Apostolic Exhortation *Reconciliation and Penance*, no. 27.
- ¹¹ S. HAUERWAS, Suffering Presence: Theological Reflections on Medicine, the Mentally Handicapped, and the Church, University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame 1986, 96.